Online-only Literature Review for:

Policy Brief – Recommendations for Improving the Treatment of Risk and Uncertainty in Economic Estimates of Climate Impacts in the Sixth IPCC Assessment Report

Thomas Stoerk, Gernot Wagner and Robert E.T. Ward

Our text points to some limitations of current climate-economy integrated assessment models (IAMs), citing Stern (2016). Many others have pointed to further limitations, including, in rough chronological order: Stern (2013), Pindyck (2013), Wagner and Weitzman (2015), Burke et al. (2016), Millner and McDermott (2016), and Rose et al. (2017). Traditional IAMs rely on numerical solutions. A new class of analytically tractable climate economy models has since emerged that has enabled researchers to calculate analytical carbon pricing rules (e.g. Hassler and Krusell 2012, Golosov et al. 2014).

Large discrepancies between scientific and economic assessments of climate impacts arise for three main reasons: First, the damage function in the Dynamic Integrated Climate-Economy (DICE) model is still calibrated to fit a quadratic functional form first established in Nordhaus (1992). In doing so, the DICE model's damage function relies on a small number of early model-based estimates for the relationship between temperature and economic output (Nordhaus and Sztorc 2013). See the surveys in Nordhaus and Moffat (2017), and earlier in Tol (2009, 2014), as well as Ward (2014a, 2014b). Also see Tol (2018) for a recent survey of some of the literature taking many IAM outputs at face value. Ward (2018), in turn, offers a direct response. See, e.g., Heal (2017) for a more critical take on IAMs. Rose et al (2017) and Howard and Sterner (2017) analyze damage estimates used in current IAMs.

Second, economists have focused their attention on those physical impacts that are more certain, more important in the short run, and, thus, more easily modeled. As a result, the three most widely used IAMs — DICE, the Climate Framework for Uncertainty, Negotiation and Distribution (FUND), and Policy Analysis of the Greenhouse Effect (PAGE) — have mostly abstracted from tipping points in the climate system in their economic assessment. The FUND model has been used to explore the collapse of the West Antarctic ice sheet and the shutdown of the thermohaline circulation (Nicholls et al. 2008, Link and Tol 2011), although these tipping points are not always included in estimates of global economic impacts of climate change. DICE includes a blanket 25% damage increase to account for omitted damage categories such as tipping points (Nordhaus 2017). None of these adjustments, however, has fundamentally altered the predictive power beyond a range of around 3°C. (For lists of potential 'tipping points' considered, see Lenton et al. 2008, Smith et al. 2009, Lenton and Ciscar 2013, Nordhaus 2013, Ciscar et al. 2014, IPCC 2014, Nordhaus 2014, and Kopp et al. 2016.)

Third, the models assume that the growth in economic output is exogenous and positive, and that the damage caused by climate change does not affect the drivers of growth.

A further serious shortcoming of these models is that they fail to take account of broader socio-economic risks not necessarily linked to physical tipping points but amounting to societal ones (e.g., Kopp et al 2016). One example is migration of populations to escape the worst potential impacts of climate change, triggered by increases in the frequency and intensity of extreme weather events.

Estimates of the costs of 'tipping points' abound. Whiteman et al. (2013) find that the economic impacts of warming the Arctic ice shelf, and the associated release of methane from the thawing of permafrost beneath the East Siberian Sea alone could lead to damages as large as current global economic output. Kessler (2017) studies the permafrost carbon feedback in DICE and finds that inclusion of this tipping point increases the optimal CO_2 price by between 10 to 220%, depending on the damage function used. Ceronsky et al. (2011) show that incorporating events of low probability and high impact such as a largescale dissociation of oceanic methane hydrates into the FUND model can increase the modeled CO_2 price by a factor of three. Lemoine and Traeger (2014) take the analysis one step further in considering multiple tipping points in a climate-economy model. They find that optimal climate policy should be more stringent with a warming of 0.5°C below usual goals. More generally, Hof et al. (2012) argue that adequate modelling of dynamic effects increases the benefits of mitigation. In this spirit, Irwin et al. (2016) and Kopp et al. (2016) call for a consideration of potential tipping points for a comprehensive assessment of optimal climate policy. This literature is informative about the general direction of the omissions in the economic climate impact assessment. It shows that physical discontinuities translate into additional economic damages. These damage estimates span enormous ranges, however, and neither are many tipping points nor their interactions sufficiently captured by economic modelling.

All in all, the current literature agrees that pricing uncertainty in climate economics means more stringent climate policy, a point further made by Cai et al. (2015), Jensen and Traeger (2014), and Lontzek et al. (2015). Hjort (2016) is more cautious, arguing that the implications of climate risk for financial markets are not yet sufficiently clear, partly due to wide disagreements among scholars on the probabilities of massive damage (Calel, Stainforth & Dietz. 2015, Millner, Calel, Stainforth & MacKerron, 2012). We would argue that it is precisely these disagreements that ought to be reflected in climate risk estimates.

Additional References

Burke, M., Craxton, M., Kolstad, C.D., Onda, C., Allcott, H., Baker, E., Barrage, L., Carson, R., Gillingham, K., Graff-Zivin, J., Greenstone, M., Hallegatte, S., Hanemann, W.M., Heal, G., Hsiang, S., Jones, B., Kelly, D.L., Kopp, R., Kotchen, M., Mendelsohn, R., Meng, K., Metcalf, G., Moreno-Cruz, J., Pindyck, R., Rose, S., Rudik, I., Stock, J., Tol, R.S.J., 2016. "Opportunities for advances in climate change economics." *Science* 352, 292–293.

Cai Y, Judd KL, Lenton TM, Lontzek TS, Narita D (2015): "Environmental tipping points significantly affect the cost-benefit assessment of climate policies", *PNAS*, **112** (15):4606–4611.

Calel, Raphael, David A. Stainforth, Simon Dietz (2015): "Tall tales and fat tails: the science and economics of extreme warming." *Climatic Change*, **132** (1): 127–141.

Ceronsky, Megan, David Anthoff, Cameron Hepburn and Richard S.J. Tol (2011): "Checking the price tag on catastrophe: The social cost of carbon under non-linear climate response", Technical report 392. ESRI Working Paper.

Ciscar JC, Feyen L, Soria A, Lavalle C, Raes F, Perry M, Nemry F, Demirel H, Rozsai M, Dosio A, Donatelli M, Srivastava A, Fumagalli D, Niemeyer S, Shrestha S, Ciaian P, Himics M, Van Doorslaer B, Barrios S, Ibáñez N, Forzieri G, Rojas R, Bianchi A, Dowling P, Camia A, Libertà G, San Miguel J, de Rigo D, Caudullo G, Barredo JI, Paci D, Pycroft J, Saveyn B, Van Regemorter D, Revesz T, Vandyck T, Vrontisi Z, Baranzelli C, Vandecasteele I, Batista e Silva F, Ibarreta D (2014): *Climate Impacts in Europe. The JRC PESETA II Project*, JRC Scientific and Policy Reports, EUR 26586EN.

Golosov, Mikhail, John Hassler, Per Krusell, and Aleh Tsyvinski (2014): "Optimal taxes on fossil fuel in general equilibrium", *Econometrica*, **82** (1), 41-88.

Irwin, E. G., Gopalakrishnan, S., & Randall, A. (2016). "Welfare, Wealth, and Sustainability." *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 8, 77-98.

Hassler and Krusell 2012 Hassler, John, and Per Krusell (2012): "Economics and climate change: integrated assessment in a multi-region world", *Journal of the European Economic Association*, **10** (5): 974-1000.

Heal, Geoffrey. (2017): "The Economics of the Climate." *Journal of Economic Literature* 55 (3): 1046–63.

Hjort, I. (2016):"Potential climate risks in financial markets: A literature overview", mimeograph, University of Oslo.

Hof, Andries F., Chris W. Hope, Jason Lowe, Michael D. Mastrandrea, Malte Meinshausen and Detlef P. van Vuuren (2012): "The benefits of climate change mitigation in integrated assessment models: The role of the carbon cycle and climate component", *Climatic Change*, 113(3–4), 897–917.

Howard, Peter H., and Thomas Sterner. 2017. "Few and Not So Far Between: A Meta-Analysis of Climate Damage Estimates." *Environmental and Resource Economics* 68 (1): 197–225. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10640-017-0166-z.

IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) (2014): *Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.), Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC.

Jensen S, Traeger CP. (2014): "Optimal climate change mitigation under long-term growth uncertainty: stochastic integrated assessment and analytic findings", *European Economic Review*, **69**: 104–25.

Kessler, Louise (2017): "Estimating the Economic Impact of the Permafrost Carbon Feedback", *Climate Change Economics*, **08**: 1750008-1 – 1750008-23.

Lemoine, Derek and Christian Traeger (2014): "Watch Your Step: Optimal Policy in a Tipping Climate", *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, **6** (1): 137–166.

Lenton, Timothy M. et al. (2008): "Tipping elements in the Earth's climate system", *Nature*, **105** (66): 1786-93.

Lenton, Timothy M., Juan-Carlos Ciscar (2013): "Integrating tipping points into climate impact assessments", *Climatic Change*, **117** (3): 585-597.

Link P, Tol R (2011): "Estimation of the economic impact of temperature changes induced by a shutdown of the thermohaline circulation: an application of FUND", *Climatic Change*, **104**: 287–304.

Lontzek TS, Cai Y, Judd KL, Lenton TM (2015): "Stochastic integrated assessment of climate tipping points indicates the need for strict climate policy", *Nature Climate Change*, **5** (5):441–44.

Millner, Antony, Raphael Calel, David A. Stainforth, George MacKerron (2012): "Do probabilistic expert elicitations capture scientists' uncertainty about climate change?" *Climatic Change*, **116** (2): 427-436.

Millner, Antony and Thomas K.J. McDermott (2016): "Model confirmation in climate economics", *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **113** (31): 8675-8680.

Nicholls R, Tol R, Vafeidis A (2008): "Global estimates of the impact of a collapse of the West Antarctic ice sheet: an application of FUND", *Climatic Change*, **91**: 171–191.

Nordhaus, William (2013): *The Climate Casino. Risk, Uncertainty, and Economics for a Warming World,* New Haven & London: Yale University Press. Nordhaus, William (2014): "Estimates of the Social Cost of Carbon: Concepts and Results from the DICE-2013R Model and Alternative Approaches", *Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists*, **1** (1/2): 273-312.

Nordhaus, William D. (2017): "Revisiting the social cost of carbon", PNAS, 114 (7): 1518-1523.

Nordhaus, William D. and Andrew Moffat (2017): "A Survey of Global Impacts of Climate Change: Replication, Survey Methods, and a Statistical Analysis", NBER Working Paper 23646.

Nordhaus, William D. and Paul Sztorc (2013): *DICE 2013R: Introduction and User's Manual*. Second edition, dated October 31st, 2013.

Pindyck, Robert S. (2013): "Climate change policy: What do the models tell us?", *Journal of Economic Literature*, *51* (3): 860-872.

Rose, Steven K., Delavane B. Diaz, and Geoffrey J. Blanford (2017): "Understanding the Social Cost of Carbon: A Model Diagnostic and Inter-Comparison Study", *Climate Change Economics*, **8** (2): 1750009-1 - 1750009-28.

Smith, J. B., Schneider, S. H., Oppenheimer, M., Yohe, G. W., Hare, W., Mastrandrea, M. D., Patwardhan, A., Burton, I., Corfee-Morlot, J., Magadza, C.H.D., Füssel, H. M., Pittcock, A.B., Rahman, A., Suarez, A., van Ypersele, J.-P. (2009). Assessing dangerous climate change through an update of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) reasons for concern, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **106** (11): 4133 - 4137.

Stern, Nicholas (2013): "The Structure of Economic Modeling of the Potential Impacts of Climate Change: Grafting Gross Underestimation of Risk onto Already Narrow Science Models", Journal of Economic Literature, 51: 838-59.

Tol, Richard S.J. (2009): "The Economic Effects of Climate Change", *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, **23** (2): 29-51.

Tol, Richard S.J. (2014): "Correction and Update: The Economic Effects of Climate Change", *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, **28** (2): 221-226.

Tol, Richard S. J. (2018): "The Economic Impacts of Climate Change." *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*. Accessed January 30, 2018. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/reep/rex027</u>.

Ward, Robert E. (2014a): "Errors in estimate of the aggregate economic impacts of climate change", Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, dated 2nd April 2014. Available from <u>http://www.lse.ac.uk/GranthamInstitute/news/errors-in-estimates-of-the-aggregate-economic-impacts-of-climate-change</u>

Ward, Robert E. (2014b): "Errors in estimates of the aggregate economic impacts of climate change – Part II", Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, dated 15th April 2014. Available from <u>http://www.lse.ac.uk/GranthamInstitute/news/errors-in-estimates-of-the-aggregate-economic-impacts-of-climate-change-part-ii/</u>

Ward, Robert E. (2018): "More flaws in estimates of the economic impacts of climate change", Grantham Research Institute on Climate Change and the Environment, dated 7th February 2018. Available from http://www.lse.ac.uk/GranthamInstitute/news/more-flaws-in-estimates-of-the-economic-impacts-of-climate-change/

Whiteman, G, C Hope and P Wadhams (2013): "Climate science: Vast costs of Arctic change", *Nature*, **499** (7459): 401–403.